Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 525 Market Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000	1 2 3 4	DONALD M. CARLEY (State Bar No. 178889) SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLI 525 Market Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 882-5000 Facsimile: (415) 882-0300 E-mail: dcarley@sonnenschein.com	P
	5 6 7 8 9 10	CHRISTINE LEPERA (Not Yet Admitted in Calis SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLI 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 Telephone: (212) 768-6700 Facsimile: (212) 768-6800 E-mail: clepera@sonnenschein.com Attorneys for Defendant LUKASZ GOTTWALD P/K/A DR. LUKE (SUR INCORRECTLY AS LUCASZ GOTTWALD), INDIVIDUALLY, AND D/B/A KASZ MONEY PUBLISHING	P ED
	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FOR THE NORTHERN I	ATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SISCO DIVISION No. C 07 2758 CRB ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANT LUKASZ GOTTWALD P/K/A DR. LUKE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND D/B/A KASZ MONEY PUBLISHING
	27 28		

LUKASZ GOTTWALD ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

LUKASZ GOTTWALD p/k/a Dr. Luke (sued incorrectly as Lucasz Gottwald), in his individual capacity and doing business as separately named defendant KASZ MONEY PUBLISHING ("Defendant"), by his attorneys, responds as follows to the Complaint filed in this Action by plaintiffs TOMMY DUNBAR and JAMES GANGWER ("Plaintiffs"). Unless indicated differently, each paragraph below corresponds with the paragraph of the Complaint bearing the same number:

1. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, except Defendant admits that Plaintiffs have brought a civil action for copyright infringement for which they have demanded a jury.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, except Defendant admits that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' Complaint. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of the third sentence Paragraph 2 of the Complaint regarding all other defendants and denies them on that basis.
- 3. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3, and does not respond to the legal conclusions contained therein.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- 5. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- 6. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint except admits that Lukasz Gottwald, p/k/a Dr. Luke, is an individual who has a residence in New York.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No.: No. C 07 2758 CRB

	7.	Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the
truth o	r falsi	ity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint and denies them on that
basis.		

- 8. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, excepts admits Plaintiffs' claim they have a lack of knowledge concerning Kasz Money Publishing, and avers that Kasz Money Publishing is a name by which Lukasz Gottwald does business individually.
- 9. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the 11. truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the 12. truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
 - 13. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

CLAIM FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

(Against All Defendants)

- Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No.: No. C 07 2758 CRB

- 16. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint and denies them on that basis.
- 17. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint as they wrongly imply that Defendant needed any authorization from Plaintiffs to create and exploit "Girlfriend," and Defendant affirmatively avers that he had no need for any authorization from Plaintiffs. Defendant further denies any copying and copyright infringement of "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend."
 - 18. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
 - 19. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES FIRST DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state any claim upon which relief can be granted, or is barred or limited by the Copyright Act.

SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim fails as the composition "Girlfriend" was independently created without reference to the allegedly infringed composition "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend."

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim fails as they do not hold a valid copyright in and to the allegedly infringed material in the composition "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend."

FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim fails because any allegedly similarity that may exist between Plaintiffs' allegedly infringed composition "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend" and the allegedly infringing composition "Girlfriend" consists solely of material that is unoriginal, common and trite, and not protectible expression.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim fails because any alleged use of any material from the allegedly infringed composition "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend" (which use is denied) constitutes de minimis use.

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 525 MARKET STREET, 26TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

Case No.: No. C 07 2758 CRB

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim fails because any alleged use of any material from the allegedly infringed composition "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend" (which use is denied) constitutes fair use.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim fails because any alleged use of any material from the allegedly infringed composition "I Wanna Be Your Boyfriend" (which use is denied) was not willful.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim is barred in whole or part by the doctrines of waiver, laches and/or estoppel.

NINTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim is barred in whole or part by virtue of Plaintiffs' unclean hands.

TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim is barred in whole or part by the applicable statute of limitations.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs have no standing to pursue this claim.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim is barred by the First Amendment.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Lukasz Gottwald p/k/a Dr. Luke (sued incorrectly as Lucasz Gottwald), individually, and d/b/a Kasz Money Publishing, requests an order and judgment as follows:

- That judgment be entered in Defendant's favor and against Plaintiffs in this action, that Plaintiffs take nothing by way of their Complaint, and that the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety;
- 2. For an award of costs and expenses incurred in this action, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 of the Copyright Act; and

Case3:07-cv-02758-CRB Document30 Filed08/27/07 Page6 of 6

For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 3. SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP Dated: August 27, 2007 DONALD M. CARLEY Attorneys for Defendant LUKASZ GOTTWALD P/K/A DR. LUKE (SUED INCORRECTLY AS LUCASZ GOTTWALD), INDIVIDUALLY, AND D/B/A KASZ MONEY **PUBLISHING** CHRISTINE LEPERA (Not Yet Admitted in California) SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 (212) 768-6700 Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 525 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000

Case No.: No. C 07 2758 CRB

-5-LUKASZ GOTTWALD ANSWER TO COMPLAINT